arXiv:1401.6880v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 24 Jan 2014

Quasi-relativistic calculus of graphene monolayer minimal conductivity

Halina V. Grushevskaya[1,*] and George Krylov[1,†]

[1] *Physics Department, Belarusan State University, 4 Nezalezhnasti Ave., 220030 Minsk, BELARUS*

We introduce a quasi-relativistic theory of quantum transport in graphene monolayer. It is based on the Dirac – Hartry – Fock self-consistent field approximation, assumption on lattice antiferromagnetic ordering and an approach [Falkovsky and Varlamov, Eur. Phys. J. **B 56**, 281(2007)]. Minimal conductivity of graphene is shown to be 4.83 (in units of e^2/h) when accounting for non-relativistic current only. Allowing for quasi-relativistic corrections to current due to process of pairs production and magneto-electric effects we obtain the results for the minimal conductivity which are in a very good agreement with experimental data for different supports.

PACS numbers: 05.60.Gg, 72.80.Vp, 73.22

Today, graphene is considered as one of the most promising materials for nanoelectronics. The ongoing boom in experimental researches is not accompanied, however, by a substantial growth in the number of theoretical papers, most of which are hitherto appealed to sufficiently long enough proposed model of two-dimensional (2D) massless pseudo-Dirac fermions [1, 2]. The last, despite the large number of successful applications to the description of electronic and optical properties of graphene under different conditions (see, e.g. reviews [3–5]), can not be considered as a final theory, because the model is a nonrelativistic one. Theoretical estimates of monolayer graphene minimal conductivity σ_{min} [4, 6–8] are in significant discrepancies with experimental data [9–12]. So, the quantum-statistical theory of graphene with pseudo-Dirac Hamiltonian gives $\sigma_{min} = \pi/2$ [7]. Here and below in this paragraph, σ_{min} is measured in units of e^2/h. At the same time, for example, for devices with large area of graphene monolayer on SiO_2, the minimal conductivity of graphene at low temperatures (~ 1.5 K) turned out to be $\sigma_{min} \sim 4$ [9], and for graphene on boron nitride substrate $\sigma_{min} \sim 6$ [10]. Minimal conductivity of the suspended graphene $\sigma_{min} \sim 6$ at $T \sim 300$ K according to [11, 12].

In papers [13–15] a new approach has been proposed to describe graphene electronic properties. It utilizes the quasi-relativistic Dirac – Hartry – Fock self-consistent field approximation and assumption on antiferromagnetic ordering of the sublattices A, B. In this approach 2D graphene is described by the following stationary equation for a second quantized fermion field $\widehat{\widetilde{\chi}}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}$:

$$\left[c\vec{\sigma}^{BA}_{2D}\cdot\vec{p}_{AB} - \widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma}_{BA}(\vec{p})\right]\widehat{\widetilde{\chi}}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle = cE_{qu}(p)\widehat{\widetilde{\chi}}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle \qquad (1)$$

where $\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma}_{BA} = -\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA}\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}$, $\vec{\sigma}^{AB}_{2D} = \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA}\vec{\sigma}_{2D}\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)^{-1}_{BA}$, $\vec{\sigma}_{2D}$ is the 2D vector of the Pauli matrices, $\vec{p}_{BA} = \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA}\vec{p}\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)^{-1}_{BA}$, $\vec{p}$ is the momentum operator, $\widehat{\widetilde{\chi}}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle = \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle$, c is the light speed, 2D transformation matrices $\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA}$, $\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}$ are determined by an exchange interaction term Σ^x_{rel} as

$$\Sigma^x_{rel}\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{-\sigma_A}(\vec{r})\\ \widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\end{pmatrix}|0,-\sigma\rangle\,|0,\sigma\rangle = \begin{pmatrix}0 & \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}\\ \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA} & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{-\sigma_A}(\vec{r})\\ \widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\end{pmatrix}|0,-\sigma\rangle\,|0,\sigma\rangle\,, \qquad (2)$$

$$\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma_B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_v N}\int d\vec{r}_i\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma_i B}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle\,\langle 0,-\sigma_i|\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{-\sigma^A_i}(\vec{r}_i)V(\vec{r}_i-\vec{r})\widehat{\chi}_{-\sigma_B}(\vec{r}_i)|0,-\sigma_{i'}\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

$$\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{-\sigma_A}(\vec{r})\,|0,-\sigma\rangle = \sum_{i'=1}^{N_v N}\int d\vec{r}_{i'}\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{-\sigma^A_{i'}}(\vec{r})\,|0,-\sigma\rangle\,\langle 0,\sigma_{i'}|\widehat{\chi}^{\dagger}_{\sigma^B_{i'}}(\vec{r}_{i'})V(\vec{r}_{i'}-\vec{r})\widehat{\chi}_{\sigma_A}(\vec{r}_{i'})|0,\sigma_i\rangle. \qquad (4)$$

Due to the fact that $\left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{BA} \neq \left(\Sigma^x_{rel}\right)_{AB}$, the vector $\vec{p}_{BA}$ of the Dirac cone is somehow rotated and stretched in respect to the vector $\vec{p}_{AB}$ of its replica. Energy dispersion law for 2D graphene in the vicinity of the Dirac

points is also linear in this model, however the approach allows to evaluate cyclotron masses and charged carriers asymmetry [13].

In this Letter we present a quasi-relativistic theory of quantum transport in graphene monolayer and estimate its minimal conductivity taking into account quasi-relativistic corrections due to the process of pairs production ("Zitterbewegung" phenomenon) and magneto-electric effects (magnetotransport) for SiO_2 and boron nitride supports, and for suspended graphene.

Equation with electron-phonon interaction in graphene can be obtained from (1) by transition from $\vec{p}$ to generalized momentum $\vec{p} - e\vec{A}/c$ as

$$\left[c\vec{\sigma}_{2D}^{BA}\cdot\left(\vec{p}_{AB}-\frac{e}{c}\vec{A}\right)-\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}\left(\vec{p}_{AB}-e\vec{A}/c\right)\right]$$
$$\times\widehat{\tilde{\chi}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle = cE_{qu}(p)\widehat{\tilde{\chi}^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}}(\vec{r})\,|0,\sigma\rangle\,. \quad (5)$$

In subsequent, we omit the sign "~" over χ. In (5) we expand into a series the term $\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}$ depending on momentum, up to quadratic terms inclusively:

$$\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(\vec{p}_{AB}-e\vec{A}/c)=\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)+\sum_i\left.\frac{d\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i'}\right|_{p_i'=0}\left(p_i^{AB}-\frac{e}{c}A_i\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\left.\frac{d^2\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i'dp_j'}\right|_{p_i',\,p_j'=0}\left(p_i^{AB}-\frac{e}{c}A_i\right)\left(p_j^{AB}-\frac{e}{c}A_j\right)+\ldots. \quad (6)$$

Appearing in the expression (6) matrices are calculated in $\pi(p_z)$-electrons approximation [14] in the corners K_A (K_B) of the Dirac cone resulting to:

$$\Sigma_{AB}(0)\Sigma_{BA}(0)=\begin{pmatrix}-0.058 & 0.015\\ -0.047 & 0.0079\end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial {p_i}^2}\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}\Big|_{K_A}=\begin{pmatrix}-0.17 & 0.028\\ -0.15 & 0.021\end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2\,\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}{\partial p_x\partial p_y}\right|_{K_A}=\begin{pmatrix}-11.0+6.2i & 1.7-0.95i\\ -8.2+4.6i & 0.40-0.34i\end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Quasi-relativistic expression [17] for the current operator j_i^G for graphene reads

$$j_i^G=\frac{j_i}{c}=c^{-1}\left(j_i^O+j_i^Z+j_i^{SO}\right), \quad (10)$$

$$j_i^O=e\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x^+)v^i_{x^+x^-}\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x^-), \quad (11)$$

$$j_i^Z=-\frac{e^2A_i}{c\,\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)}\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}\chi_{+\sigma_B}, \quad (12)$$

$$j^{SO}_{2(1)}=(-1)^{1(2)}\frac{\imath e}{2}v^{1(2)}_{x^+x^-}\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}\sigma_z\chi_{+\sigma_B}. \quad (13)$$

Here

$$x^{\pm}=x\pm\epsilon,\ x=\{\vec{r},\ t_0\},\ t_0=0,\ \epsilon\to 0; \quad (14)$$

$\vec{v}$ is the velocity operator defined by the momentum derivative of the Hamiltonian (5). The terms $j_i^O,\ j_i^Z,\ j_i^{SO}$ in (10) describe, respectively, the current component that satisfies Ohm's law and contributions of polarization and magnetoelectric effects. In the interaction representation, the current is expressed through the evolution operator $\hat{U}(x'-x'')$ according to the formula

$$\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x^+)v^l_{x^+x^-}\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x^-)=\hat{U}(x^+-x')\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x')v^l_{x'\,x^-}\hat{U}(x^--x'')\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x'')$$
$$=\left[1-\imath\int V^G(x^+-x')dt'd\vec{x'}+\ldots\right]\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x')v^l_{x'x^-}\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x^-)$$
$$=\left\{1-(-\imath)\int\int\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x^+-x')\sum_i\left[\frac{e}{c}v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}}A_i(\bar{x})+\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)\frac{d\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i^{AB}}(0)\ v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}}+\frac{\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)^2}{2}\right.\right. \quad (15)$$
$$\left.\left.\times\sum_j\frac{d^2\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i^{AB}dp_j^{AB}}(0)\ v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}}\,v^j_{x^+-x',\ \bar{x}}\right]\chi_{+\sigma_B}(\bar{x})d\bar{t}\,d\vec{\bar{x}}\,dt'\,d\vec{x'}+\ldots\right\}\chi^{\dagger}_{+\sigma_B}(x')v^l_{x'x^-}\hat{U}(x^--x'')\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x'')$$

where V^G is the interaction between the electromagnetic field $\vec{A}$ and the current $\chi^\dagger_{+\sigma_B}(x')v^i_{x'x}\chi_{+\sigma_B}(x)$. Using the relationship $U = \imath G_1$ of the evolution operator with the one-particle Green function $\imath G_1(x - x') = \chi^\dagger(x)\chi(x')$ [16] and accounting for (15), we rewrite, for example, j_i^O in (10) through G_1 and two-particle Green function $G_2(x,\, x',\, \bar{x},\, \bar{x}') = (\imath)^2\chi^\dagger(x)\chi^\dagger(x')\chi(\bar{x})\chi(\bar{x}')$:

$$j_l^O = \frac{e}{(\imath)^3}\left\{1 - (-\imath)\int\int\sum_i\left[\frac{e}{c}v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}}A_i(\bar{x}) + \widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)\frac{d\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i^{AB}}(0)\; v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}} \;+ \frac{\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)^2}{2}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.\times\sum_j\frac{d^2\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i^{AB}dp_j^{AB}}(0)\; v^i_{x^+-x',\,\bar{x}}\, v^j_{x^+-x',\;\bar{x}}\right]\; G_2(x^+,\,\bar{x},\,x',\,x'')G_1(x^- - x'')d\bar{t}\,d^2\vec{\bar{x}}\,dt'\,d^2\vec{x'}\,dx'' + \ldots\right\} v^l_{x'x^-}. \qquad (16)$$

Let us turn to the imaginary time, perform the Fourier – Laplace transform of the expression (16), and obtain

$$j_i^O(\omega,\; k) = \mathrm{Tr}\;\; \frac{e^2}{c(2\pi)^2}\frac{1}{(\imath)^3}\int v^i_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}A_i\left[-\imath\bar{\beta}\frac{f[\beta((H(p^-)-\mu)/\hbar)] - f[\beta((-H(p^+)-\mu)/\hbar)]}{\beta(z^+ - z^-) - \beta(H(p^-)/\hbar) - \beta(H(p+)/\hbar)}\right]v^i_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}$$
$$\times\frac{1}{-\imath(\omega - \hat{\omega}^+ + \hat{\omega}^-)}\delta[\beta(z^- - z^+) - \beta(z)]\,d\vec{p}\,dz^-. \qquad (17)$$

Here the Hamiltonian H satisfies $H(p)\,\chi_p(\vec{r}) = E(p)\,\chi_p(\vec{r})$, $\hat{\omega}^+ = H^\dagger(-p^+)/\hbar$, $\hat{\omega}^- = H(p^-)/\hbar$ owing to $\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\pm}\chi_p(\vec{r},\, t^\pm) = H(p^\pm)\,e^{\imath\omega^\pm t^\pm}\chi_p(\vec{r})$, $t^+ > 0$, $t^- < 0$; $\bar{\beta} = 1/T$, $\beta(z) = \bar{\beta}\hbar z$. A coefficient at A_i entering into the expression (17), after its division by c, gives the ohmic contribution to the graphene conductivity:

$$\sigma^O_{ii}(\omega,\; k) = \frac{\imath e^2}{c^2(2\pi)^2}\bar{\beta}^2\int\Big(\;\mathrm{Tr}\;\vec{v}^{\,i}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}M\,,\,\vec{v}^{\,i}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}N\Big)\,d\vec{p}, \qquad (18)$$

where $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ is the scalar product, $i = 1, 2$; operators $M,\; N$ are defined as

$$M = \frac{f[\bar{\beta}(H(p^-)-\mu)] - f[\bar{\beta}(-H(p^+)-\mu)]}{\bar{\beta}\hbar z - \bar{\beta}H(p^-) - \bar{\beta}H(p+)}, \quad N = \frac{1}{(\hbar\omega - H^\dagger(-p^+) + H(p^-))\bar{\beta}}. \qquad (19)$$

Analogous calculations yield

$$\sigma^Z_{ll}(\omega,\; k) = \left(\mathrm{Tr}\;\;\frac{-\imath e^2}{c^2(2\pi)^2}\bar{\beta}^2\frac{\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\frac{d^2\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_i^2}\int\vec{v}^{\,i}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}M\,,\,\vec{v}^{\,i}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}N\right)d\vec{p}, \qquad (20)$$

$$\sigma^{SO}_{22(11)}(\omega,\; k, \sigma_z) = (-1)^{1(2)}\frac{\imath}{2}\left(\mathrm{Tr}\;\;\frac{-\imath e^2}{c^2(2\pi)^2}\bar{\beta}^2\frac{\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}(0)}{2}\frac{d^2\widetilde{\Sigma_{AB}\Sigma_{BA}}}{dp_{1(2)}dp_{2(1)}}(0)\int\vec{v}^{\,1(2)}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}M\sigma_z\,,\,\vec{v}^{\,1(2)}_{(\vec{p}^+-\vec{p}^-)}N\right)d\vec{p}. \qquad (21)$$

We utilize the following approximation:

$$\vec{v}_{AB} \approx \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial\vec{p}} \qquad (22)$$

corresponding to massless case. Here $H_0 = c\vec{\sigma}^{BA}_{2D}\cdot\vec{p}_{AB}$ is the unperturbed electron Hamiltonian of the problem (5). We denote eigenvalues of the unperturbed electron Hamiltonian H_0 by $E^e_{1,\,2}$, eigenvalues of the hole Hamiltonian $H_0^\dagger$ by $E^h_{1,\,2}$. In representation where Hamiltonian H_0 is diagonal, taking the trace operation in (18, 20, 21) can be easily carried out. We make a change: $-H(p) \to H^\dagger(-p)$ and for each band a, $a = 1,\, 2$ introduce the Hamiltonians of quasiparticles H_0^a, $H_0^{a\,\dagger}$ with the eigenvalues E^e_a, E^h_a to quantize M and N (19):

$$M = \frac{f[\bar{\beta}(H(p^-)-\mu)] - f[\bar{\beta}(H^\dagger(-p^+)-\mu)]}{\bar{\beta}\hbar z - \bar{\beta}H(p^-) + \bar{\beta}H^\dagger(-p^+)} = \{M_{ab}\}, \quad M_{ab} = \frac{f[\bar{\beta}(H_0^a(p^-)-\mu)] - f[\bar{\beta}(H_0^{b\,\dagger}(-p^+)-\mu)]}{\bar{\beta}\hbar z - \bar{\beta}H_0^a(p^-) + \bar{\beta}\,H_0^{b\,\dagger}(-p^+)}; \quad (23)$$

$$N = \frac{1}{(\hbar\omega - H^\dagger(-p^+) + H(p^-))\bar{\beta}} = \{N_{ab}\}, \quad N_{ab} = \frac{1}{(\hbar\omega - H_0^{a\,\dagger}(-p^+) + H_0^b(p^-))\bar{\beta}}. \quad (24)$$

For a degenerate Dirac cone, we have $E^e_{1,\,2}(p) \approx \mp c v_F \hbar p$, $E^h_{1,\,2}(p) \approx \pm c v_F \hbar p$ where v_F is the Fermi velocity. In this case, we can calculate (similar to [7]) the non-relativistic contribution $\sigma^O_{aa,\,ij}$ to graphene conductivity stipulated by transitions of quasiparticles between states of the same band, and get

$$\sigma^O_{aa,\,ij}(\omega,\ k) = \frac{\imath e^2}{c^2(2\pi)^2}\int \frac{f[\bar{\beta}(H_0^a(p^-)-\mu)] - f[\bar{\beta}(H_0^{a\,\dagger}(-p^+)-\mu)]}{\hbar z - H_0^a(p^-) + H_0^{a\,\dagger}(-p^+)} \frac{v^i_{aa}(p) v^j_{aa}(p)\ d\vec{p}}{(\hbar\omega - H_0^{a\,\dagger}(-p^+) + H_0^a(p^-))}$$
$$= -\frac{\imath e^2}{c^2 2\pi h}\int \frac{\omega(k) v^i_{aa}(p) v^j_{aa}(p)\partial f[\bar{\beta}(E_a(p)-\mu)]/\partial E_a(p)}{z\omega - \omega(k)\omega + z\omega(k) - \omega^2(k)}\ d\vec{p}, \quad i,j \in \{x,y\}. \quad (25)$$

The last formula differs from that of [7] only by the angular dependence of the integrand due to difference for the velocity operators in the models.

Since the matrix of mixed-derivatives (9) is complex, magneto-transport contribution (21) to the conductivity will be stipulated by both interband and intraband summands. For small k and low temperatures we use the limit $\tanh \omega/T \to 1$ [7]. In this limit, the intraband contribution is zero, and for the real part of conductivity due to interband transitions, we get the following values for separate contributions: $\sigma^O = 4.83e^2/h$, $\sigma^Z = 0.74e^2/h$, $\sigma^{SO} = \pm 0.41e^2/h$. If the process of electron–hole pairs production is broken, then $\sigma = \sigma^O - |\sigma^{SO}| = 4.42e^2/h$, that gives the experimental value of conductivity for graphene monolayer on SiO_2. If the process of electron – hole pairs production is not broken, then $\sigma = \sigma^O + \sigma^Z + |\sigma^{SO}| = 5.98e^2/h$, and this is precisely the minimal conductivity of graphene on boron nitride support and of suspended graphene. There will be also observable trembling in magnetic fields: $\sigma = \sigma^O + \sigma^Z + \sigma^{SO}$.

To summarize, the quantum-statistical theory of graphene with Dirac – Hartry – Fock Hamiltonian has been proposed which assumes the lattice antiferromagnetic ordering and takes into account of polarization and magnetoelectric effects. Calculation of minimal conductivity of graphene monolayer within this theory gives the values recorded in the experiments for different supports.

* Electronic address: grushevskaja@bsu.by

† Electronic address: krylov@bsu.by

[1] G.W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2449 (1984).
[2] K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D.Jiang, Y. Zhang, M.I. Katsnelson, I.V. Grigorieva, S.V. Dubonos, A.A. Firsov, Nature. **438**, 197 (2005).
[3] A.H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N.M. Peres, K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 109 (2009).
[4] N.M.R. Peres, J. Phys. Condens. Matter. **21**, 323201 (2009).
[5] Y. Hancock, J. Phys. D. **44**, 473001 (2011).
[6] K. Ziegler, Phys. Rev. B. **75**, 233407 (2007).
[7] L.A. Falkovsky, A.A. Varlamov, Eur. Phys. J. B. **56**, 281(2007).
[8] T. Ando, Y. Zheng, H. Suzuura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 1318 (2002).
[9] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov *et al.*, Science. **306**, 666 (2004).
[10] C.R. Dean, A.F. Young, I. Meric *et al.*, Nature Nanotechnology. **5**, 722 (2010).
[11] K.I. Bolotin, K.J. Sikes, J.Hone, H.L. Stormer, P. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 096802 (2008).
[12] X. Du, I. Skachko, A. Barker, E. Y. Andrei, Nature Nanotechnology. **3**, 491 (2008).
[13] H.V. Grushevskaya, G.G. Krylov, Int. J. Nonlinear Phen. in Comp. Sys. **16**, 189 (2013).
[14] H.V. Grushevskaya, G.G. Krylov, Electronic structure and transport in graphene: quasi-relativistic Dirac–Hartry–Fock self-consistent field approximation, arXiv:1309.1847 [cond-mat.mes-hall]
[15] G.G. Krylov, H.V. Krylova, M.A. Belov, in: "Dynamical Phenomena in Complex Systems", eds. A.V. Mokshin *et al.* (MOiN RT Publishing, Kazan, 2011). P.161-180.
[16] H. Krylova, L. Hursky. *Spin polarization in strong-correlated nanosystems.* (LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken, 2013).
[17] A.S. Davydov. *Quantum mechanics.* (Science, Moscow, 1973).